



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/628,455

07/31/00

REPP

R

DON02 P-820

PM82/1121

DONALD S GARDNER VAN DYKE GARDNER LINN & BURKHART LLP 2851 CHARLEVOIX DRIVE SE SUITE 207 PO BOX 888695 GRAND RAPIDS MI 49588-8695 REDMAN, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3634

**EXAMINER** 

DATE MAILED:

11/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	17-00 - A	
	691628,451		CEPP ET AL,	
	Examiner 7	2011	Group Art Unit 3634	
	VERFIRE	20/VIV		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Response	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MON1	H(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by d</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response within the set or extended period for respons</li></ul>	rs, a response within the statuto lefault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of from the mailin	thirty (30) days will be considered tir g date of this communication .	
Status , /				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/31/00				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excepaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s) 2-35			is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)				
¥ Claim(s) 2-35		is/are	rejected	
☐ Claim(s)				
• •	are subject to restriction or election			
			ement.	
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	•			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	, -	☐ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	= * * *			
<ul> <li>☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the certified.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the certification.</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num.)</li> </ul>	ber)			
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Information of the CERTIFIED copies of t</li></ul>	ber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a))		
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a))		
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> Attachment(s)	ber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a))		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	ber) hternational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a))	 mary, PTO-413	
<ul> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> Attachment(s)	ber)nternational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a))  Interview Sum  Notice of Infor		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)



Serial Number: 09/628,455

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-35 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repp et al. (#5,853,895). As shown in Figures 3 and 4, Repp et al. (#5,853,895) disclose a method of assembling a hinge and latch panel assembly comprising a panel (12) having a frit layer on an inner surface, a primer material is applied, an adhesive material is applied to one or both a hinge and latch (30 and 32) and primer material thereby forming a joint which engages the hinge and latch (30 and 32) to the panel. Repp et al. (#5,853,895) fail to recite the bonded joint to have a pull load greater than 150 pounds at a rate of 25 mm/min, a pull load greater than 250 pounds at a rate of 25mm/min, or a pull load after immersed in water at 80 degrees C for 100 hours. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the bonded joint of Repp et al. (#5,853,895) to have a pull load of at least 250 pounds at a rate of 25mm/min and to withstand a straight pull load after the bonded joint has been immersed in water at 80 degrees C for 100 hours since it would have been a matter of design choice provide a bonded joint to withstand a pull load of greater than 250 pounds at a rate of 25mm/min or to withstand a straight pull load after the bonded joint has been immersed in water at 80 degrees C for 100 hours and it is well known in the art to provide design modifications which solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and the bonded joint of Repp et al. (#5853,895)

Serial Number: 09/628,455

Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

would perform equally as well under a pull load of greater than 250 pounds at a rate of 25mm/min or to withstand a straight pull load after the bonded joint has been immersed in water at 80 degrees C for 100 hours.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman phone number (703) 308-2168.

Jerry Redman
Primary Examiner